

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION**

<b>SERGIO ARMANDO LAZCON ZUNIGA, on</b>	)	
behalf of himself, and all other similarly	)	No. 18-cv-7470
situated plaintiffs known and unknown,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	<b><u>JURY DEMAND</u></b>
<b>JMR LANDSCAPING LLC., AND, JOEY</b>	)	
<b>ROSSA, INDIVIDUALLY</b>	)	
	)	
Defendants	)	
	)	

**COMPLAINT**

NOW COMES Plaintiff, **SERGIO ARMANDO LAZCON ZUNIGA**, on behalf of himself and all other Plaintiffs similarly situated, by and through his attorneys, LOPEZ AND SANCHEZ AND FARMWORKER AND LANDSCAPER ADVOCACY PROJECT, and for his Complaint against Defendant JMR LANDSCAPING LLC., AND JOEY ROSSA, INDIVIDUALLY, states as follows:

**I. NATURE OF ACTION**

1. This action is brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §201 *et seq.*, the Portal-to-Portal Act, 29 U.S.C. §251 *et seq.*, the Illinois Minimum Wage Law, 820 ILCS §105/1 *et seq.*, and the Illinois Wage Payment and Collection Act, 820 ILCS §115/1 *et seq.*

**II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

2. Jurisdiction arises under the provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206-207, the Portal-to-Portal Act, 29 U.S.C. §251 *et seq.*, and for the supplemental Illinois statutory claims, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367. Venue lies in the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division in that during all times relevant to the employment relationship Plaintiff regularly performed work in counties throughout this district including Lake County.

Additionally, Defendants are or were engaged in business in this district.

III. THE PARTIES

3. Defendant, JMR LANDSCAPING LLC., provides landscaping maintenance, lawn care, landscape design and build, and snow removal services. As such, Defendant's services qualify as an enterprise engaged in commerce as defined by the FLSA. During all relevant times Plaintiff performed services for Defendant and therefore, as defined under both the federal and state statutes relied upon is an "employer."

4. Defendant, JOEY ROSSA, is the owner/operator of Defendant JMR Landscaping LLC., and at all relevant times Defendant was acting directly or indirectly in the interest of the employer in relation to the employee Plaintiff, had the authority to hire, and terminate Plaintiff and directed his work duties and therefore, as defined under both the federal and state statutes relied upon is an "employer."

5. Plaintiff SERGIO ARMANDO LAZCON ZUNIGA (hereinafter "the named Plaintiff") is a former employee who performed work for Defendants as a landscaping and laborer.

6. All other unnamed Plaintiffs known and unknown (hereinafter referred to as "members of the Plaintiff Class" or "similarly situated Plaintiffs"), are past or present employees who work or worked for Defendants as described above.

7. As employees performing duties for an enterprise engaged in commerce, the named Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff Class were also engaged in commerce as defined by the FLSA.

8. The claims brought herein by the named Plaintiff are identical or similar to the claims of other past and present employees who were subject to the non-compliant policies and practices alleged herein.

9. The non-compliant practices as alleged herein were part of a practice and policy implemented and maintained by Defendants and are common to a group or "class" of past and present employees.

10. Those past and present employees are entitled to receive Notice of these

proceedings and afforded opportunity to join their individual claims.

**IV. STATUTORY VIOLATIONS**

**Collective Action under the Fair Labor Standards Act**

11. Pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), Count I of this action is brought by the named Plaintiff as an opt-in representative or collective action, on behalf of himself and other Plaintiffs similarly situated who have been damaged by Defendants' failure to comply with 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.* and § 251 *et seq.* Count II alleges a willful violation of the FLSA and seeks an additional third year of limitations. Count III seeks liquidated damages under the Fair Labor Standards Act, § 260.

**Illinois Minimum Wage Law**

12. Pursuant to the Illinois Minimum Wage Law, 820 ILCS §105/1 *et seq.*, Count IV of this action is brought by the named Plaintiff to recover unpaid back wages earned on or after the date three (3) years prior to the filing of this action. Each and every Plaintiff who joins this case in the future shall specifically adopt and assert the claims made under this Count IV.

**Class Action under the Illinois Wage Payment and Collection Act**

13. Pursuant to the Illinois Wage Payment and Collection Act, 820 ILCS §115/1 *et seq.*, Count V of this action is brought by the named Plaintiff as a Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 class action to recover unauthorized deductions taken on or after the date ten (10) years prior to the filing of this action. Each and every Plaintiff who joins this case in the future shall specifically adopt and assert the claims made under this Count IV.

**V. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS RELEVANT TO ALL COUNTS**

14. The named Plaintiff, at all times pertinent to this cause of action, was employed by Defendants, said employment being integral and indispensable to Defendants' business.

15. Defendants employed named Plaintiff at an hourly rate of pay of \$15.00 during the course of his employment, from approximately April through October of 2017.

16. Additionally, Plaintiff and those similarly situated were regularly unable to take their full thirty (30) minute unpaid meal breaks as a result of work volume and interruptions. The meal break interruptions consisted of work off the clock such as moving plants to a specific area or used to give further instruction on future job assignments.

17. Furthermore, Plaintiff and all similarly situated members of the Plaintiff class experienced unauthorized deductions from their paychecks for uniforms. These deductions were taken with improper and insufficient written authorization by the named Plaintiff and members of the Plaintiff class at the time each deduction was made, in violation of legal requirements.

**COUNT I**  
**VIOLATION OF FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT**

18. Paragraphs 1 through 17 are re-alleged and incorporated as though set forth fully herein..

19. Defendants have at all times relevant hereto failed and refused to pay compensation to their employees, including the named Plaintiff herein, and all other Plaintiffs similarly situated, known and unknown, as described above.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all other Plaintiffs similarly situated, known and unknown, respectfully requests this Court to enter an order:

- (a) awarding back pay equal to the amount of all unpaid overtime compensation for the two (2) years preceding the filing of this Complaint, according to the applicable statute of limitations;
- (b) awarding Plaintiff his reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred; and
- (c) for such additional relief as the Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

**COUNT II**  
**WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT**

20. Paragraphs 1 through 19 are re-alleged and incorporated as though set forth fully herein.

20. Defendants' actions as complained of above were done with Defendants' knowledge that the compensation policies and practices at issue were in violation of the statutes alleged, or with a reckless disregard for whether or not the policies and practices were in violation of those statutes.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all other Plaintiffs similarly situated, known and unknown, respectfully requests this Court to enter an order:

- (a) awarding back pay equal to the amount of all unpaid compensation for one (1) additional year, totaling three (3) years preceding the filing of this Complaint;
- (b) awarding Plaintiff his reasonable attorneys' fees and Court costs incurred; and
- (c) for such additional relief as the Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

**COUNT III**  
**LIQUIDATED DAMAGES**  
**UNDER THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT**

20. Paragraphs 1 through 19 are re-alleged and incorporated as though set forth fully herein.

21. In denying the named Plaintiff and members of the Plaintiff Class compensation as described above, Defendants' acts were not based upon good faith or reasonable grounds.

22. The named Plaintiff and all other past and present employees similarly situated, known and unknown, are entitled to liquidated damages equal to the amount of unpaid compensation, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §260.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all other Plaintiffs similarly situated, known and unknown, respectfully requests this Court to enter an order:

- (a) awarding liquidated damages equal to the amount of all unpaid compensation;
- (b) awarding Plaintiff his reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred; and
- (c) for such additional relief as the Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

**COUNT IV**

**VIOLATION OF THE ILLINOIS WAGE PAYMENT AND COLLECTION ACT**  
**UNAUTHORIZED DEDUCTIONS**

23. Paragraphs 1 through 22 are re-alleged and incorporated as though set forth fully herein.

24. Throughout the course of Plaintiff's and the Plaintiff class' employment, they had an employment relationship with Defendants, and an employment agreement, established by the present and prior conduct of the parties evincing their intent to be parties to an employer-employee relationship.

25. During the course of Plaintiff's and the Plaintiff class' employment, Defendants made deductions from their wages for uniforms, on a regular basis.

26. Such deductions (1) were not required by law; (2) were not to Plaintiff's or the Plaintiff class' benefit; (3) were not in response to a valid wage assignment or wage deduction order; and (4) were not made pursuant to a valid and compliant contemporaneous authorization form, signed and dated by Plaintiff and the Plaintiff class.

27. Defendants violated the IWPCA, 820 ILCS 115/9, by making unauthorized and unlawful deductions from Plaintiff's wages.

28. Plaintiff was damaged by Defendants' violation of the IWPCA.

29. This Count IV is brought as a class action under FRCP 23, because although the precise number is unknown, the Plaintiff class is so numerous that joinder of their claims would be impracticable. It is estimated that there are at least 50 individuals in the putative class who experienced deductions.

30. The deductions present common questions of law and fact which predominate over variations that exist in the Plaintiff class, and the named Plaintiff's claims as to deductions

are typical of those presented by the putative class.

31. Moreover, Plaintiff is an appropriate and adequate representative of the Plaintiff class, and Plaintiff's counsel is competent in the field of wage and hour claims including collective and class actions.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all other Plaintiffs similarly situated, known and unknown, respectfully requests this Court to enter an order:

- (a) declaring and decreeing Defendants' compensation practices as described herein, and such other violations which may come to light during the prosecution of this matter, in violation of the provisions of the Illinois Wage Payment and Collection Act;
- (b) awarding an amount of damages pursuant to the formula set forth in the IWPCA, Section 115/14(a);
- (c) ordering Defendants to account for unauthorized deductions to Plaintiff and the class Plaintiffs represent and entering judgment in Plaintiff's and the Plaintiff class' favor;
- (d) directing Defendants to pay to Plaintiff his reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and litigation expenses, as provided by statute;
- (e) for such additional relief it deems just and appropriate under the circumstances.

Respectfully Submitted,

By: /s/ Jorge Sanchez  
One of Plaintiff's attorneys

Jorge Sanchez  
LOPEZ & SANCHEZ LLP  
77 W. Washington St., Suite 1313  
Chicago, IL 60602  
(312) 420-6784

Alexandria Santistevan  
FARMWORKER AND LANDSCAPER  
ADVOCACY PROJECT  
33 N. LaSalle St., Suite 900  
Chicago, IL, 60602  
(312) 784-3541